

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7

8
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
12
13
14

15 ALBERTO GUILLEN,) No. C 02-0722 WHA (PR)
16 Petitioner,)
17 vs.) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
18 MR. McGRATH, Warden,)
19 Respondent.)
20
21

22 This is a habeas case brought pro se by a state prisoner. The case was stayed in 2004
23 to allow petitioner to exhaust his state court remedies as to certain claims, and in 2005 the
24 case was administratively closed pending such a stay. Petitioner filed a notice of change of
25 address in May 2005, but nothing thereafter. On October 24, 2013, he was directed to file, on
26 or before November 28, 2013, either a motion to lift the stay or a notice that he no longer
27 intends to proceed with this case. He was cautioned that his failure to do so would result in
28 the dismissal of the case for failure to prosecute. No response has been received.
Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a).

The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 10, 2014.

25
26
27
28 
WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE